



Class of '22: The Top 50 Construction Law Firms™

ach year, Construction Executive reaches out to more than 600 U.S. law firms with a dedicated construction practice and asks them to complete a survey for the annual ranking of The Top 50 Construction Law Firms™. This year's 2022 ranking features some law firms that participated in the survey for the first time.

As the industry enters the second half of 2022, contractors continue to experience unprecedented supplychain issues, workforce shortages, fluctuating markets and record inflation. Contractors are increasingly turning to experienced construction law firms for help with reviewing contract documents so they might reduce their business exposure to risk in an ever-changing, post-pandemic environment.

Methodology for The Top 50 Construction Law Firms™

CE developed The Top 50 Construction Law Firms™ ranking by asking more than 600 U.S. construction law firms to complete a survey. The data collected included: 1) 2021 revenues from the firm's construction practice; 2) number of attorneys in the firm's construction practice; 3) percentage of firm's total revenues derived from its construction practice; 4) number of states in which the firm is licensed to practice; 5) year in which the construction practice was established; and 6) number of construction industry clients served during fiscal year 2021. The ranking was determined by an algorithm that weighted the aforementioned factors in descending order of importance. For more information, contact surveys@magazinexperts.com.

Visit ConstructionExec.com and search "Top 50" for more info.

CONSTRUCTION EXECUTIVE | JUNE 2022

Rank	Firm	Kear Fr.	"States"	#OF	# Total	# Emol	#Con	The State of	#Construct	Constru	of Total Fi
1	Peckar & Abramson, P.C. New York, NY	1978	20	11	116	209	116	64	1,348	94.8	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
2	Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP* Atlanta, GA *Firms merged in 2020	1890	44	24	1,137	1,644	44	19	262	4.22	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
3	Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP Birmingham, AL	1870	37	10	571	612	71	39	909	11.6	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
4	Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP San Francisco, CA	1974	51	74	1,036	1,635	105	46	520	6.91	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
5	Cokinos Young Houston, TX	1989	13	6	85	150	72	34	701	88.24	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA,SB
6	Cohen Seglias Pallas Greenhall & Furman PC Philadelphia, PA	1988	14	8	76	124	54	22	1,016	69.74	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,SB
7	Venable LLP Washington, D.C.	1900	7	10	792	1,515	112	112	274	3.75	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,MA,P3
8	Smith, Currie & Hancock LLP Atlanta, GA	1965	21	8	67	144	67	40	800	98.41	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,P3,SB
9	Duane Morris LLP Philadelphia, PA	1904	40	25	731	1,499	53	29	1,023	5.32	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
10	Husch Blackwell LLP Kansás City, MO	1916	45	25	726	1,243	58	37	708	5.37	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
11	Andrews Myers, PC Houston, TX	1990	3	2	57	98	34	15	4,383	71.43	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA,P3,SB
12	Fox Rothschild LLP Philadelphia, PA	1907	43	28	980	1,914	44	31	533	3.72	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SE
13	Hinckley Allen Boston, MA	1906	19	7	158	303	26	16	510	19.53	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
14	Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC Memphis, TN	1888	37	22	627	1,170	29	18	390	5.91	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
15	Eversheds Sutherland United States	1924	55	8	390	741	25	14	56	6.01	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
16	Seyfarth Shaw LLP Chicago, IL	1945	51	12	935	1,025	41	25	138	2.78	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
17	Carlton Fields, P.A. Tampa, FL	1901	25	11	326	645	36	18	286	9.67	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
18	Adams and Reese LLP New Orleans, LA	1951	30	18	263	481	75	45	400	13.31	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
19	McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Morristown, NJ	1983	19	13	194	340	22	17	296	21.48	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,P3,SB
20	Cotney Attorneys and Consultants Tampa, FL	2021	48	17	48	72	48	10	1,242	100	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
21	Watt, Tieder, Hoffar & Fitzgerald, LLP McLean, VA	1978	15	5	53	86	53	33	350	51.43	CD,DF,DR,CT, GC,IC,P3,SB
22	Finch, Thornton & Baird, LLP San Diego, CA	1987	8	3	33	55	33	14	1,411	100	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
23	Perkins Coie LLP Seattle, WA	1912	50	17	1,318	2,601	22	11	310	1.57	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA,P3
24	K&L Gates LLP Pittsburgh, PA	1946	41	24	1,138	2,336	66	49	169	1.57	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3
25	Varela, Lee, Metz & Guarino, LLP Tysons, VA	2014	11	2	23	32	23	15	150	100	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB

THE SO CONSTRUCTION TOP SO LAW FIRMS

	CONSTRUCTION LAW FIRMS	/	Popu	*Omitted to Partionies	# To-	# Em.	888	# Co.	#Construction Partiers	Construction Clients	Areas of Pactice as
Rank	Firm	Kear F.	#State	"Office	# 70.	#Ems.	Seevour.	#C.	#Const	Constru	Areas of
26	Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP Columbia, SC	1897	32	31	897	1,669	23	17	720	2.19	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
27	Clark Hill PLC Detroit, MI	1890	42	25	669	1,251	23	18	730	4.67	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA,P3,SB
28	Zetlin & De Chiara New York, NY	1992	6	3	26	43	26	12	2,189	100	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,MA,P3
29	Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo Cerritos, CA	1979	20	9	224	367	18	11	680	13.73	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA,P3,SB
30	Goldberg Segalla LLP Buffalo, NY	2001	24	22	435	866	46	34	248	8.18	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV,LE
31	Phelps Dunbar LLP* New Orleans, LA *Merger in 2021	1853	30	12	350	630	29	18	195	8.59	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
32	Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP Cinncinnati, OH	1908	28	30	745	1,419	30	22	13,141	4.00	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA
33	Alston & Bird Atlanta, GA	1893	37	10	878	1,598	18	8	239	1.34	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,MA,P3,SB
34	Shutts & Bowen LLP Miami, FL	1910	1	8	283	547	21	16	619	6.30	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
35	Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP Cleveland, OH	1920	14	6	124	268	43	33	1,021	16.79	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
36	Becker & Poliakoff Ft. Lauderdale, FL	1973	3	15	123	310	27	18	696	19.26	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,P3,SB
37	Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP Atlanta, GA	1893	21	8	234	412	15	8	98	8.08	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,P3,SB
38	Stoel Rives LLP Portland, OR	1907	33	10	363	700	18	10	334	4.46	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
39	Hanson Bridgett San Francisco, CA	1958	13	5	193	361	17	9	159	9.71	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3
40	Thompson Hine LLP Cleveland, OH	1911	31	8	389	722	16	9	270	4.81	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
41	Ahlers Cressman & Sleight, PLLC Seattle, WA	2007	1	1	19	29	19	5	586	100	CD,DR,GC
42	Fabyanske, Westra, Hart & Thomson P.A. Minneapolis, MN	1981	11	1	39	61	21	14	326	57.93	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,LE,MA,P3,SB
43	Offit Kurman P.C. Baltimore, MD	1987	9	17	248	506	22	14	200	8.09	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
44	Beltzer Bangert & Gunnell LLP Greenwood Village, CO	2017	11	1	19	39	19	8	121	100	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,MA,P3,SB
45	Oles Morrison Rinker & Baker, LLP Seattle, WA	1893	5	3	21	35	21	11	230	74.87	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
46	Akerman LLP Miami, FL	1920	43	24	727	1,365	19	12	86	2.06	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3
47	Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Seattle, WA	1944	29	8	700	1,500	15	5	638	10.38	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3
48	Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP San Diego, CA	1946	21	7	206	331	16	9	182	7.69	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
49	Hudson Lambert Parrott Walker, LLC Atlanta, GA	2013	8	4	20	30	20	15	550	92.5	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB
50	Porter Hedges LLP Houston, TX	1981	14	2	116	220	14	9	1,000	10.7	CD,DF,DR,CT,CV, GC,IC,LE,MA,P3,SB